

# Hadwiger's Conjecture is True for Almost Every Graph

B. BOLLOBÁS, P. A. CATLIN\* AND P. ERDÖS

The contraction clique number  $\text{ccl}(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the maximal  $r$  for which  $G$  has a subcontraction to the complete graph  $K^r$ . We prove that for  $d > 2$ , almost every graph of order  $n$  satisfies  $n((\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4)^{-1} \leq \text{ccl}(G) \leq n(\log_2 n - d \log_2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . This inequality implies the statement in the title.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the deepest unsolved problems in graph theory is the following conjecture due to Hadwiger [7]:  $\chi(G) = s$  implies  $G > K^s$ . In other words, every  $s$ -chromatic graph  $G$  has a subcontraction to  $K^s$ , the complete graph of order  $s$ . In the case  $s = 5$ , this is equivalent to the four-colour theorem. (For an account of the various results related to Hadwiger's conjecture the reader is referred to [1, Chapter VII]; the terminology and notation not defined here can also be found in [1].)

The statement in the title would sound rather hollow but for certain recent developments. Hajós conjectured that every  $s$ -chromatic graph contains a  $TK^s$ , a topological complete subgraph of order  $s$ , that is a subdivision of  $K^s$ . This is clearly stronger than Hadwiger's conjecture, for a  $TK^s$  itself has a contraction to  $K^s$ , but a graph subcontraction to  $K^s$  need not contain a  $TK^s$ . The Hajós conjecture was disproved recently by Catlin [5], who exhibited counter-examples for  $\chi(G) \geq 7$ . Shortly after Catlin's result Erdős and Fajtlowicz [6] showed that almost every graph is a counter-example to the Hajós conjecture. More precisely, define the *topological clique number* of a graph  $G$  as

$$\text{tcl}(G) = \max\{r: G \supset TK^r\}.$$

Erdős and Fajtlowicz showed that for almost every graph  $G$  of order  $n$ ,

$$\text{tcl}(G) \leq cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1)$$

for some absolute constant  $c$ . Since for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  almost every graph satisfies

$$\chi(G) \geq (\tfrac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)n/\log_2 n,$$

we have that

$$\text{tcl}(G) < \chi(G)$$

for almost every graph (for sharp results on  $\chi(G)$  see [4]).

Inequality (1) was extended by Bollobás and Catlin [3], who proved that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  almost every graph satisfies

$$(2 - \varepsilon)n^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \text{tcl}(G) \leq (2 + \varepsilon)n^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2)$$

and so

$$(\tfrac{1}{4} - \varepsilon)n^{\frac{1}{2}}/\log_2 n \leq \chi(G)/\text{tcl}(G).$$

In view of this it is imperative to attack Hadwiger's conjecture by random graphs, that is to examine whether or not Hadwiger's conjecture holds for almost every graph. This is

\* This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS-7903215.

exactly the task we shall accomplish in this note. More precisely, we shall prove an analogue of (2) for the *contraction clique number*  $\text{ccl}(G)$  of a graph  $G$ , defined as

$$\text{ccl}(G) = \max\{r: G \triangleright K^r\}.$$

## 2. RANDOM GRAPHS

Let  $0 < p < 1$  be fixed, and let  $V$  be a set of  $n$  distinguishable vertices. Denote by  $\mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = p)$  the discrete probability space consisting of all graphs with vertex set  $V$ , in which the probability of a graph of size  $m$  is

$$p^m(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-m}.$$

In other words, the edges of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = p)$  are chosen independently and with probability  $p$ . (See [2, Chapter VII] for results concerning this model.)

Given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  of graphs we define the *probability of  $\mathcal{P}$*  as

$$P(\mathcal{P}) = P(\{G \in \mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = p): \mathcal{P} \text{ holds for } G\}).$$

If  $P(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then the property  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to hold for *almost every* graph.

In order to make the calculations below a little more pleasant, we shall take  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ . The case  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  is in some sense the most natural, since this is the model one considers implicitly when one counts the proportion of all graphs having a given property. Indeed, in the model  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = \frac{1}{2})$  every graph has the same probability, so the probability of a set  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}$  is exactly  $|\mathcal{H}|/|\mathcal{G}|$ . Thus a property  $\mathcal{P}$  holds for almost every graph in  $\mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = \frac{1}{2})$  iff the number of graphs having  $\mathcal{P}$  is asymptotically equal to the number of all graphs (with vertex set  $V$ ).

## 3. THE CONTRACTION CLIQUE NUMBER

Given a graph  $G$  and non-empty disjoint subsets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s$  of  $V = V(G)$ , denote by  $G/\{V_1, \dots, V_s\}$  the graph with vertex set  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s\}$  in which  $V_i$  is joined to  $V_j$  iff  $G$  contains a  $V_i - V_j$  edge. Put

$$\text{ccl}'(G) = \max\{r: G/\{V_1, \dots, V_r\} \cong K^r \text{ for some } V_1, \dots, V_r\}.$$

Since the contraction clique number is defined similarly, except with the added restriction on the  $V_i$  that each  $G[V_i]$  is connected,

$$\text{ccl}(G) \leq \text{ccl}'(G).$$

We shall give a lower bound for  $\text{ccl}(G)$  and an upper bound for  $\text{ccl}'(G)$  holding for almost every graph. As customary,  $\log_b x$  denotes the logarithm to base  $b$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $d > 2$ . Then almost every graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = \frac{1}{2})$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} n((\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4)^{-1} &\leq \text{ccl}(G) \leq \text{ccl}'(G) \\ &\leq n(\log_2 n - d \log_2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq n((\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** (a) We start with a proof of the upper bound on  $\text{ccl}'(G)$ . Put  $s = \lfloor n(\log_2 n - d \log_2 \log_2 n)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor$ . A partition  $\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s\}$  of the vertex set  $V$  is said to be *permissible for a graph  $G$*  if  $G$  contains a  $V_i - V_j$  edge for every pair  $(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ . Thus  $\text{ccl}'(G) \geq s$  iff the graph  $G$  has a permissible partition. We have to prove that the probability that a graph has a permissible partition tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

To start with, note rather crudely that there are at most

$$\frac{n!}{s!} \binom{n}{s-1} < n^n \quad (3)$$

partitions of  $V$  into  $s$  non-empty sets. The number on the left-hand side of (3) is the number of partitions of  $V$  into  $s$  non-empty *ordered* sets.

Consider now a fixed partition  $\mathcal{P} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s\}$  into non-empty sets. What is the probability that this partition  $\mathcal{P}$  is permissible? Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s$  be the number of vertices in the classes. Then the probability that a graph contains no  $V_i - V_j$  edge is  $2^{-n_i n_j}$ . Hence

$$P(\mathcal{P} \text{ is permissible}) = \prod (1 - 2^{-n_i n_j}) \leq e^{-\sum 2^{-n_i n_j}}, \quad (4)$$

where both the product and the sum are taken over all pairs  $(i, j)$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ . We have the following string of elementary inequalities.

$$\sum 2^{-n_i n_j} \binom{s}{2}^{-1} \geq (\prod 2^{-n_i n_j}) \binom{s}{2}^{-1} = 2^{-(\sum n_i n_j) \binom{s}{2}^{-1}} \geq 2^{-n^2/s^2}. \quad (5)$$

The reader may note that  $\sum n_i n_j$  is exactly the number of edges in the complete  $s$ -partite graph with vertex classes  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s$ . The Turán graph  $T_s(n)$  is the unique  $s$ -partite graph with maximal number of edges, and

$$e(T_s(n)) = \left( \frac{s-1}{2s} + o(1) \right) n^2 \quad (\text{see [2, p. 71]}).$$

From (4) and (5) we have

$$P(\mathcal{P} \text{ is permissible}) \leq e^{-\binom{s}{2} 2^{-n^2/s^2}}, \quad (6)$$

and (3) and (6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} P(G \text{ has a permissible partition} = P(\text{ccl}'(G) \geq s) &\leq n^n e^{-\binom{s}{2} 2^{-n^2/s^2}} \\ &= P_s. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Clearly

$$\log P_s = n \log n - \binom{s}{2} 2^{-n^2/s^2} \leq n \left\{ \log n - \frac{1}{3 \log_2 n} 2^{d \log_2 \log_2 n} \right\} \leq -\frac{1}{4} n (\log_2 n)^{d-2} \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Hence  $P_s \rightarrow 0$ , proving the required upper bound on  $\text{ccl}'(G)$ .

(b) We turn to the proof of the lower bound on  $\text{ccl}(G)$ . Put  $k = \lceil (\log n)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2t}} \rceil$ ,  $s = \lceil n/(k^5/2) \rceil$  and  $t = \lfloor n/(k+2) \rfloor$ . We shall prove in two steps that  $G > K^s$  for almost every graph  $G$ .

*Step 1.* Fix a set  $T$  of  $t$  vertices and put  $W = V - T$ . Then *almost every graph  $G$  contains  $t$  vertex disjoint stars of order  $k+1$  whose centres are the  $t$  vertices in  $T$ .*

Indeed, by a slight extension of Hall's theorem (see [2, p. 56]) if  $G$  does not contain such stars then there is a set  $A \subset T$  for which the vertices in  $A$  have less than  $k|A|$  neighbours in  $W$ . Given a set  $A$  with  $a = |A|$  elements, the probability that a vertex in  $W$  is joined to no vertex in  $A$  is  $2^{-a}$ . Hence the probability that the vertices in  $A$  have less than  $ka$  neighbours in  $W$  is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u < ka} \binom{n-t}{u} 2^{-a(n-t-u)} &< n^{ka} 2^{-a(n-t-ka)} \\ &\leq n^{ka} 2^{-at} < 2^{-at/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the probability that  $G$  fails to contain the desired  $t$  stars is at most

$$\sum_{a \leq t} \binom{t}{a} 2^{-at/2} \leq \sum_{a \leq t} (t2^{-t/2})^a \leq 2t2^{-t/2},$$

and this tends to 0.

*Step 2.* Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t$  be the vertex sets of the stars constructed in Step 1 in almost every graph. Then for *almost every graph*  $G$  there are  $V_{n_1}, V_{n_2}, \dots, V_{n_s}$  such that  $G[\{V_{n_1}, V_{n_2}, \dots, V_{n_s}\}] \cong K^s$ . The assertions in these two steps clearly imply the first inequality of our theorem.

Note that the sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_t$  depend only on the  $T-W$  edges of the graph. Thus the edges joining the vertices of  $W$  are chosen independently with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Put  $W_i = V_i - T$ . We say that  $(W_i, W_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$ , is *good* if there is a  $W_i - W_j$  edge. Since  $W_i \subset W$  and  $|W_i| = k$ , clearly

$$P(\text{the pair } (W_i, W_j) \text{ is bad}) = 2^{-k^2}$$

and so the expected number of bad pairs is

$$\binom{t}{2} 2^{-k^2} < \frac{n^2}{\log_2 n} 2^{-\log_2 n - (\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{n}{\log_2 n} 2^{-(\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

At this stage we have several options. We may appeal either to the classical De Moivre-Laplace theorem (see [2; p. 134]) or to the even simpler Chebyshev inequality (see [2, p. 134]) or to the trivial inequality  $P(|X| \geq |c|) \leq E(|X|)/|c|$  to deduce that almost every graph has few bad pairs. For example, the last inequality implies that the probability that a graph has more than

$$\frac{n}{\log_2 n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

bad pairs is at most  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ . In particular, since

$$t - \frac{n}{\log_2 n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}}} > s,$$

for almost every graph we can find sets  $W_{n_1}, W_{n_2}, \dots, W_{n_s}$  such that every pair  $(W_{n_i}, W_{n_j})$  is good. Then we have  $G[\{V_{n_1}, \dots, V_{n_s}\}] \cong K^s$  and since each  $G[V_i]$  is connected,  $\text{ccl}(G) \geq s$ , as claimed.

The proof of our theorem is complete.

With a little more effort the lower bound can be improved to  $n((\log_2 n)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1)^{-1}$ . Furthermore, the calculations can easily be carried over to the general case. If  $0 < p < 1$  is fixed then almost every graph in  $\mathcal{G}(n, P(\text{edge}) = p)$  satisfies the inequality in the Theorem, with  $\log_2 n$  replaced by  $\log_b n$ , where  $b = 1/p$ .

## REFERENCES

1. B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, New York and San Francisco, 1978.
2. B. Bollobás, *Graph Theory—An Introductory Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg and Berlin, 1979.
3. B. Bollobás and P. A. Catlin, Topological complete subgraphs of random graphs (to appear).
4. B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, Cliques in random graphs, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **80** (1976), 419–427.
5. P. A. Catlin, Hajós's graph-colouring conjecture: variations and counterexamples, *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)* **26** (1979), 268–274.

6. P. Erdős and S. Fajtlowicz, On the conjecture of Hajós, (to appear).
7. H. Hadwiger, Ungelöste probleme 26, *Elem. Math.* **13** (1958), 128–129.

*Received 23 October 1979 and in revised form 6 December 1979*

B. BOLLOBÁS

*Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge,  
16, Mill Lane, Cambridge CB2 1SB, U.K.*

C. P. CATLIN

*Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University,  
Detroit, MI 48202, U.S.A.*

P. ERDÖS

*Mathematical Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
Reáltanoda utca, Budapest V, Hungary*